

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,450	(07/12/2004	Kevin W. Guernsey	2243.0	4449	
9748	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER		
LAITRA	M, L.L.C.		HESS, DOUGLAS A			
	DEPARTMEI TRAM LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	AN, LA 701		3651			

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Δ 1			
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	U			
Office Action Summary		10/710	450	GUERNSEY, KEVIN W	/. V			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Douglas	A Hess	3651				
- Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence address	5			
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. lays, a reply within the sory period will apply and by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply tatutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire StX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>12 July 2004</i> .						
2a)□		☐ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims				·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application Papers	withdrawn from o						
	ion Papers			•				
·	The specification is objected to by the E		4 a al a a la \					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 2004</u> is/	,	, -					
	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•		121/4)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	•		•	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action from the laternation and the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action from the laternation from the laternation and the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the laternation from	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docur I Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Appl nents have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stag	e			
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) one of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	1_Q4 <i>R</i> \		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTer No(s)/Mail Date	-		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/710,450

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (US Pat. 4,840,269).

See the attached marked up drawing figures 1 and 3 of Anderson depicting the claimed features in their broadest interpretation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of the applicant's disclosure.

Anderson discloses the device as claimed except for utilizing a vibration welding process to form the weld on the conveyor components. Anderson is silent on what type of weld process

Application/Control Number: 10/710,450

Art Unit: 3651

he uses. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice as to the type of welding process used to join the conveyor modules. The applicant's disclosure (paragraph 15 of the specification) discusses the vibration welding process (by Branson Ultrasonics Corp.) as claimed, and then further states "But other welding technologies can be used accordingly with similar effect. Some examples include epoxies, electromagnetic welding, ultrasonic welding, orbital vibration welding, hot plate welding, and hot gas welding." Therefore, it would have been obvious to assume that Anderson utilizes one of the above mentioned welding processes on his conveyor in place of the claimed vibration welding, since the applicant states that these other welding choices provide a similar effect or end result.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Hess whose telephone number is 703-308-3428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs 5:30 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on 703-308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,450

Art Unit: 3651

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas A Hess
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3651

DAH December 8, 2004

